

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/553,324

Applicant(s)

NEW, ROGER R. C.

Examiner

MAURY AUDET

Art Unit

1654

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MAURY AUDET, Examiner

(3) _____

(2) Art Crawford, Applicant's Representative

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 08 July 2009

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed: NA

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant confirmed that Applicant has agreed to cancel product claims 59-72, 83-87, and 90-91, without prejudice, as well as the amendment of claim 73 to incorporate the composition of claim 59 into the method of use claim 73.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Maury Audet/
Examiner, Art Unit 1654, Full Signatory Authority Program